



Saccharomyces cerevisiae HS-1와 *Streptococcus thermophiles* HS-2 함유 복합 미생물비료 처리 후 크리핑 벤트그래스의 생육

김영선¹, 이긍주^{2*}

¹

,²

Growth of Creeping Bentgrass after Application of Microbial Fertilizer Containing *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* HS-1 and *Streptococcus thermophiles* HS-2

Young-Sun Kim¹ and Geung-Joo Lee^{2*} (¹Department of Horticultural Science, College of Natural and Life Sciences, Daegu University, Gyeongsan 38453, Korea, ²Department of Horticultural Science, College of Agriculture Life Sciences, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34134, Korea)

Received: 03 November 2023/ Revised: 28 November 2023/ Accepted: 30 November 2023

Copyright © 2023 The Korean Society of Environmental Agriculture

This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID

Young-Sun Kim

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5645-7021>

Geung-Joo Lee

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3774-1860>

Abstract

This study was conducted to evaluate the effects of soil microbial fertilizer (SMF) containing *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* HS-1 and *Streptococcus thermophiles* HS-2 on the growth of creeping bentgrass. For the pot experiment, the treatments were as follows: no fertilizer (NF), control (3 N g/m²/month), SMF-1 (control+SMF 2 mL/m²/time), and SMF-2 (control+SMF 4 mL/m²/time). For the plot experiment, the treatments were as follows: NF, control, SMFp-1 (control+SMF 1 mL/m²/time), SMFp-2 (control+SMF 2 mL/m²/time), and SMFp-3 (control+SMF 4 mL/m²/time). In the pot experiment, visual turfgrass quality and the uptake amount of nitrogen (N) and potassium (K) were increased under the SMF treatments, whereas the content of chlorophyll (a, b, and a+b) and clipping yield were not considerably different compared with the control. In the pot experiment, the amount of SMF positively correlated with visual turfgrass quality and uptake amount of N and K. In the plot experiment, turfgrass density was increased by 12.9-19.2% under

SMF treatments compared with the control. These results indicated that the application of SMF containing *Sa. cerevisiae* HS-1 and *St. thermophiles* HS-2 improved the quality, density, and growth of creeping bentgrass via prompting the uptake of N and K.

Key words: Creeping bentgrass, K uptake, N uptake, Soil microbial fertilizer, Turfgrass growth

서언

[1].

[2-5].

(plant growth prompting rhizobacteria, PGPR)

[6].

[7].

* Corresponding author: Geung-Joo Lee
Phone: +82-42-821-5734; Fax: +82-42-821-8888;
E-mail: gjlee@cnu.ac.kr

6	10 g m ⁻²	가	2012 8 11 5 20 mm 가 10-26°C 60-75%	가 (shoot)	가 (root)
SMF					
		SMF-1 SMF-2			
	3 inch (75 mm)				
3					
1,000 mL					
Sung Co., Ltd., Daejeon, Korea)			(KS-10-2, Kwang 11 5 , 12 3 , 1 7 , 2 4 4 SMF 1,000 mL 11 5 7 16 1-2 가 가 7 17 가 . 가 grass Evaluation Program (NTEP)		
1: worst, 6: acceptable and 9: best).			11 5 National Turf- (scale: 1-9)		
12 3 , 1 7 2 4 3 20 mm 70% 70°C (JSON-150, JSR, Gongju, Korea) 24 0.1 g () 95% (Samchun, Seoul, Korea) 10 mL 가 -4°C 48 UV- nm (A ₆₄₈) 664 nm (A ₆₆₄) [21].					
Chlorophyll a = 13.36A ₆₆₄ - 5.19A ₆₄₈ Chlorophyll b = 27.45A ₆₄₈ - 8.12A ₆₆₄ Chlorophyll a+b = 5.24A ₆₄₈ + 22.24A ₆₆₄					
2 28					
복합미생물제제 포장시험					
SMF					
2013 5 10 6	UGSA	가 95% 5%			
30 cm					
Penncross					
SMF					
(non-fertilizer), (control), SMF 1 mL/m ² /time), 2 mL/m ² /time) 3 (SMFp-3, SMF 4 mL/m ² /time)		1 (SMFp-1, 2 (SMFp-2, SMF 3 (SMFp-3, SMF 4 mL/m ² /time)			
2 m ² (1 m × 2 m)		30 m ²			
		3			
14.3 g/m ² 1,000 mL (KS-10-2, Kwang Sung, Co. Ltd., Daejeon, Korea) 2013 5 1 , 5 30 , 7 6 , 8 2 , 9 5 5 . SMF 1,000 mL 5 1, 15, 30 , 6 14 , 7 6, 24 , 8 2, 18 , 9 5, 26 10 2 , (GM262B-AC9, Sibaura, Tokyo, Japan) 2-4 4 mm					
6 13 , 8 18) (1 , 9 5) , (2 , 8 16 , 9 5)					
가 5 1 10 가 . 가 NTEP					
Turf color meter (TCM 500, Spectrum Technologies, Inc., Plainfield, IL, USA) Chlorophyll meter (CM 1000, Spectrum Technologies, Inc., Plainfield, IL, USA)					
5 14, 31 , 6 14 , 7 6, 24 , 8 2, 18 , 9 5, 26 10 10 10 (1.0 cm ² , 1 cm × 1 cm)					
6 14 ,					

7 24 , 8 18 , 9 26 4 ,
 4.0 mm 5
 31 , 7 6 , 8 2 , 9 4 , 10 10 5
 . ,
 70°C 24

토양 화학성 및 식물체 분석

2012 8 1 , : 2013 5 1) ((2013 2 28 , : 2013 10 10) , 2 mm . pH, (EC, electrical conductivity), (OM, organic matter), (T-N, total nitrogen), (Av-P₂O₅, available phosphate), (Ex-K, exchangeable potassium) (CEC, cation exchangeable capacity) , pH EC 1:5 , OM Tyurin , T-N Kjeldahl , Av-P₂O₅ Bray No.1 , CEC 1N-NH₄OAc

(2013 2 28)
 7† , ()
) 0.2 g 25 mL 1 mL が†
 Kjeldahl ,
 Kjeldahl ,
 UV-spectrophotometer (X-MA1200, Human, Seoul,
 Korea)
 (PFP7, Jenway, Staffordshire, UK)

$$(\text{g}/\text{m}^2) = \quad (\text{g}/\text{m}^2) \times \quad (\%)$$

통계분석

SMF SPSS (ver 25.0,
 IBM, New York, USA) ANOVA
 . Duncan
 , T- SMF
 , SMF Pearson

결과 및 고찰

복합미생물제제 포트시험

SMF
(Table 3).
EC Av-P₂O₅, OM T-N
가 (Table 2, 3). EC Av-P₂O₅가
, OM T-N 가

, SMF , pH , EC, OM, T-N, Av-P₂O₅, Ex-K CEC

SMF-2	pH↑	SMF-1
pH↑	[23]. Lee et al.[24]	
SMF		가
12	(Table 4). NF	SMF
1	,	11
	,	,
	2	
가		SMF
(SMF-1, SMF-2)	NF	SMF

Table 3. The chemical properties of soil after SMF application in the pot experiment

Treatment ¹⁾	pH	EC	OM	T-N	Av-P ₂ O ₅	Ex-K	CEC
	(1:5)	(dS/m)	(%)	(%)	(mg/kg)	(cmol _c /kg)	
NF	7.23a ²⁾	0.28a	0.46a	0.03a	18a	0.05a	2.05a
Control	6.93b	0.31a	0.43a	0.02a	31a	0.07a	2.21a
SMF-1	6.80c	0.31a	0.40a	0.02a	24a	0.06a	1.93a
SMF-2	6.46d	0.25a	0.47a	0.02a	28a	0.06a	2.13a

¹⁾Treatments were as follow. NF: non-fertilizer, control: compound fertilizer (N-P₂O₅-K₂O=21-17-17; 14.3 g/m²), SMF-1 (Control+SMF 1 mL/m²) and SMF-2 (Control+SMF 2 mL/m²). Compound fertilizer was fertilized in the treatments on November 5, December 3, January 7, and February 4. Soil microbial fertilizer (SMF) containing *Sa. cerevisiae* HS-1 and *St. thermophilus* HS-2 was applied 16 times every week from November 5, 2012 to February 18, 2013.

²Means with the same letters within column are not significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test at $p \leq 0.05$ level. EC: electrical conductivity, T-N: total nitrogen, Av-P₂O₅: available phosphate, Ex-K: exchangeable potassium, CEC: cation exchange capacity.

Table 4. The changes of visual quality in the creeping bentgrass after applying SMF in the pot experiment

Treatment ¹⁾	Visual quality (scale: 1-9, 1: worst, 6: acceptable, 9: best)				
	November	December	January	February	Average
NF	6.47d ²⁾	6.66c	6.43c	6.29b	6.46c
Control	6.92c	7.14b	7.21b	7.3a	7.14b
SMF-1	7.09b	7.22a	7.29a	7.39a	7.25a
SMF-2	7.15a	7.22a	7.35a	7.30a	7.25a
Correlation ³⁾	**	*	**	NS	**

¹⁾Treatments were as follow. NF: non-fertilizer, control: compound fertilizer ($N-P_2O_5-K_2O=21-17-17$; 14.3 g/m²), SMF-1 (Control+SMF 1 mL/m²) and SMF-2 (Control+SMF 2 mL/m²). Compound fertilizer was fertilized in the treatments on November 5, December 3, January 7, and February 4. Soil microbial fertilizer (SMF) containing *Sa. cerevisiae* HS-1 and *St. thermophilus* HS-2 was applied 16 times every week from November 5, 2012 to February 18, 2013.

²⁾Means with the same letters within column are not significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test at $p \leq 0.05$ level.

³⁾NS, * and ** were not significant and a significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 probability level by correlation coefficient between control and amount applying SMF (N=9).

SMF	가	가	가	가	가
12	1	2	11	[15]. <i>St. thermophilus</i> HS-2	
SMF	가	가		[25].	
		(R=0.840**, p=0.005)			
SMF	가	가		가	가
SMF			SMF		SMF
a, b	a+b	322-1,266 $\mu\text{g/g}$			(Table 6).
151-519 $\mu\text{g/g}$, 473-1,695 $\mu\text{g/g}$		(Table	2 28	가	11 29
5). NF	SMF				, 12 29 1
2 28	SFM-1	38.9%			
, 11 29 , 12 29	1 28		가		[26].
11 29	SMF-1 SMF-2		SMF		NF
75.3% 94.7%	가	12 29 , 1 28	가		. SMF
2 28	a+b	2 28	SMF-1		
SMF-2		60.1% 28.3%	가		SMF
, 11 29 , 12 29	1 28		가		
			[24,27].		
SMF			SMF		(Table 7).
	SMF	a+b		480-1,238 g/m^2	,
		(R=0.687*, p=0.041)	706-1,137 g/m^2		. SMF
SMF	가			2.56-2.58	1.51-1.61
가			NF		
3]	가			T/R	
[15].	SMF		SMF		
<i>Sa. cerevisiae</i> HS-1		1,233 $\mu\text{g/}$	SMF		
mL				T/R	

Table 5. The changes of chlorophyll content in the creeping bentgrass after applying SMF in the pot experiment

Treatment ¹⁾	Chlorophyll content (μg/g)				
	Nov 29	Dec 29	Jan 28	Feb 28	Average
Chlorophyll a					
NF	559 b ²⁾	637 b	322 b	461 c	495 b
Control	785 ab	1,270 a	857 a	1,035 b	987 a
SMF-1	976 ab	938 ab	1,138 a	1,707 a	1,190 a
SMF-2	1,090 a	1,266 a	1,039 a	1,427 ab	1,205 a
Correlation ³⁾	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Chlorophyll b					
NF	178 b	164 a	151 b	202 c	174 b
Control	171 b	272 a	391 a	285 ab	280 a
SMF-1	312 a	217 a	519 a	408 a	364 a
SMF-2	333 a	325 a	468 a	267 b	348 a
Correlation	*	NS	NS	NS	NS
Chlorophyll a+b					
NF	737 b	801 b	473 b	664 c	669 b
Control	956 ab	1,543 a	1,248 a	1,321 b	1,267 a
SMF-1	1,288 ab	1,155 ab	1,656 a	2,115 a	1,554 a
SMF-2	1,423 a	1,591 a	1,507 a	1,695 ab	1,554 a
Correlation	NS	NS	NS	NS	*

¹⁾Treatments were as follow. NF: non-fertilizer, control: compound fertilizer (N-P₂O₅-K₂O=21-17-17; 14.3 g/m²), SMF-1 (Control+SMF 1 mL/m²) and SMF-2 (Control+SMF 2 mL/m²). Compound fertilizer was fertilized in the treatments on November 5, December 3, January 7, and February 4. Soil microbial fertilizer (SMF) containing *Sa. cerevisiae* HS-1 and *St. thermophiles* HS-2 was applied 16 times every week from November 5, 2012 to February 18, 2013.

²⁾Means with the same letters within column are not significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test at p≤0.05 level.

³⁾NS and * were not significant and a significant at the 0.05 probability level by correlation coefficient between control and amount applying SMF (N=9).

Table 6. The changes of clipping yield in the creeping bentgrass after applying SMF in the pot experiment

Treatment ¹⁾	Clipping yield (dry weight g/m ²)				
	Nov 29	Dec 29	Jan 28	Feb 28	Total
NF	20.3 c ²⁾	26.0 b	13.8 b	22.2 c	82.4 b
Control	61.0 b	104.0 a	125.4 a	94.9 b	385.2 a
SMF-1	94.3 a	98.0 a	135.7 a	127.8 a	455.8 a
SMF-2	95.1 a	97.3 a	140.5 a	106.2 b	439.1 a
Correlation ³⁾	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

¹⁾Treatments were as follow. NF: non-fertilizer, control: compound fertilizer (N-P₂O₅-K₂O=21-17-17; 14.3 g/m²), SMF-1 (Control+SMF 1 mL/m²) and SMF-2 (Control+SMF 2 mL/m²). Compound fertilizer was fertilized in the treatments on November 5, December 3, January 7, and February 4. Soil microbial fertilizer (SMF) containing *Sa. cerevisiae* HS-1 and *St. thermophiles* HS-2 was applied 16 times every week from November 5, 2012 to February 18, 2013.

²⁾Means with the same letters within column are not significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test at p≤0.05 level.

³⁾NS was not significant by correlation coefficient between control and amount applying SMF (N=9).

SMF , SMF-2 33.6% 7
 (Table 8). 1.35-2.52%, 0.11-0.26%,
 1.38-3.30% , SMF (R=0.742*, p=0.022) . SMF

Table 7. The dry weight of shoot and root in the creeping bentgrass after applying SMF in the pot experiment

Treatment ¹⁾	Shoot	Root	T/R ratio
	(g/m ²)		
NF	480 b ²⁾	706 b	0.68 b
Control	1,049 a	1,137 a	0.93 a
SMF-1	1,229 a	1,134 a	1.11 a
SMF-2	1,238 a	1,065 a	1.17 a
Correlation ³⁾	NS	NS	NS

¹⁾Treatments were as follow. NF: non-fertilizer, control: compound fertilizer (N-P₂O₅-K₂O=21-17-17; 14.3 g/m²), SMF-1 (Control+SMF 1 mL/m²) and SMF-2 (Control+SMF 2 mL/m²). Compound fertilizer was fertilized in the treatments on November 5, December 3, January 7, and February 4. Soil microbial fertilizer (SMF) containing *Sa. cerevisiae* HS-1 and *St. thermophilus* HS-2 was applied 16 times every week from November 5, 2012 to February 18, 2013.

²⁾Means with the same letters within column are not significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test at $p \leq 0.05$ level.

³⁾NS was not significant by correlation coefficient between control and amount applying SMF (N=9).

Table 8. The nutrient content and uptake amount of turfgrass leaf in the creeping bentgrass after applying SMF in the pot experiment

Treatment ¹⁾	Nutrient content (%)			Uptake amount (g/m ²)		
	N	P	K	N	P	K
NF	1.35 b ²⁾	0.11 b	1.38 c	1.11 c	0.10 b	1.14 c
Control	2.05 ab	0.19 ab	2.47 b	7.83 b	0.80 ab	9.44 b
SMF-1	2.10 ab	0.30 a	2.72 ab	9.60 ab	1.39 a	12.38 a
SMF-2	2.52 a	0.26 ab	3.30 a	10.93 a	1.17 a	14.44 a
Correlation ³⁾	NS	NS	*	*	NS	**

¹⁾Treatments were as follow. NF: non-fertilizer, control: compound fertilizer (N-P₂O₅-K₂O=21-17-17; 14.3 g/m²), SMF-1 (Control+SMF 2 mL/m²) and SMF-2 (Control+SMF 4 mL/m²). Compound fertilizer was fertilized in the treatments on November 5, December 3, January 7, and February 4. Soil microbial fertilizer (SMF) containing *Sa. cerevisiae* HS-1 and *St. thermophilus* HS-2 was applied 16 times every week from November 5, 2012 to February 18, 2013.

²⁾Means with the same letters within column are not significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test at $p \leq 0.05$ level.

³⁾NS, * and ** were not significant and a significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 probability level by correlation coefficient between control and amount applying SMF (N=9).

1.11-10.93 g/m ² , 0.10-1.17 g/m ² , 1.14-14.44 g/m ²	가	가	가	가	[29].
SMF	,	SMF	,	SMF	
SMF-2	,	SMF-1	SMF-2		가 (Table 10).
SMF-2	,			8.82	7.74-
()	,			,	
,	,			145-420	,
[27]. SMF	가				
[27].	가	SMF	가		
복합미생물제제 포장시험				SMF	
SMF	,	SMFp-2	T-		
(Table 9). NF	SMFp		SMF		
SMF	,				

Table 9. The chemical properties of soil after SMF application in the plot experiment

Treatment ¹⁾	pH (1:5)	EC (dS/m)	OM (%)	T-N	Av-P ₂ O ₅ (mg/kg)	Ex-K	CEC (cmol _c /kg)
NF	7.03 a ²⁾	0.23 a	0.98 a	0.03 a	17 a	0.04 a	2.57 a
Control	6.99 a	0.26 a	0.96 a	0.04 a	25 a	0.04 a	2.54 a
SMFp-1	6.99 a	0.22 a	1.03 a	0.04 a	17 a	0.04 a	2.51 a
SMFp-2	7.06 a	0.24 a	1.09 a	0.04 a	22 a	0.04 a	2.47 a
SMFp-3	7.07 a	0.23 a	1.00 a	0.04 a	17 a	0.05 a	2.57 a

¹⁾Treatments were as follow. NF: non-fertilizer, control: compound fertilizer (N-P₂O₅-K₂O=21-17-17; 14.3 g/m²), SMFp-1 (Control+SMF 1 mL/m²), SMFp-2 (Control+SMF 2 mL/m²) and SMFp-3 (Control+SMF 4 mL/m²). Compound fertilizer was fertilized in the treatments on May 1, May 31, July 6, August 2, and September 5. Soil microbial fertilizer (SMF) containing *Sa. cerevisiae* HS-1 and *St. thermophiles* HS-2 was applied 10 times on May 1, May 15, May 31, June 14, July 6, July 24, August 2, August 18, September 5, and September 26, 2013.

²⁾Means with the same letters within column are not significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test at p≤0.05 level.

Table 10. The changes of clipping yield in the creeping bentgrass after applying SMF in the plot experiment

Treatment ¹⁾	Investigation date (Month/day)									Average
	5/15	5/31	6/14	7/6	7/24	8/2	9/5	9/26	10/10	
Turf color index										
NF	8.12 a ²⁾	8.08 a	8.18 b	8.63 a	8.49 a	8.74 a	8.74 a	7.86 a	7.78 a	7.74 a
Control	8.16 a	8.52 a	8.81 a	8.82 a	8.51 a	8.48 b	8.61 a	8.30 a	7.84 a	7.89 a
SMFp-1	8.16 a	8.41 a	8.76 a	9.14 a	8.54 a	8.44 b	8.64 a	8.31 a	7.96 a	7.93 a
SMFp-2	8.17 a	8.52 a	8.82 a	8.87 a	8.57 a	8.52 b	8.74 a	8.36 a	7.94 a	7.94 a
SMFp-3	8.15 a	8.35 a	8.75 a	8.84 a	8.49 a	8.54 ab	8.75 a	8.28 a	7.94 a	7.90 a
Correlation ³⁾	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
T-test ⁴⁾	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Chlorophyll index										
NF	208 b	145 b	191 b	189 b	230 a	222 a	240 a	223 a	233 a	209 b
Control	288 ab	203 a	416 a	304 a	232 a	215 a	225 a	233 a	244 a	262 a
SMFp-1	300 a	212 a	414 a	283 a	225 a	216 a	236 a	225 a	244 a	262 a
SMFp-2	295 ab	212 a	420 a	282 a	234 a	214 a	242 a	243 a	252 a	266 a
SMFp-3	273 ab	222 a	395 a	290 a	221 a	208 a	235 a	232 a	246 a	258 a
Correlation ³⁾	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
T-test ⁴⁾	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

¹⁾Treatments were as follow. NF: non-fertilizer, control: compound fertilizer (N-P₂O₅-K₂O=21-17-17; 14.3 g/m²), SMFp-1 (Control+SMF 1 mL/m²), SMFp-2 (Control+SMF 2 mL/m²) and SMFp-3 (Control+SMF 4 mL/m²). Compound fertilizer was fertilized in the treatments on May 1, May 31, July 6, August 2, and September 5. Soil microbial fertilizer (SMF) containing *Sa. cerevisiae* HS-1 and *St. thermophiles* HS-2 was applied 10 times on May 1, May 15, May 31, June 14, July 6, July 24, August 2, August 18, September 5, and September 26, 2013.

²⁾Means with the same letters within column are not significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test at p≤0.05 level.

³⁾NS was not significant by correlation coefficient between control and amount applying SMF (N=12).

⁴⁾NS was not significant by t-test between control and SMFp-2 treatment.

a+b (Table 4, 5)	SMF	.	no shown)[29]	가 (Table 11).
1 2-4				가 NF
가 SMF	[28].	,		가 가 NF

Table 11. The changes of clipping yield in the creeping bentgrass after applying SMF in the plot experiment

Treatment ¹⁾	Turfgrass density (No. of tiller/cm ²)				
	Jul 14	Jul 24	Aug 18	Sep 26	Average
NF	17.3 b ²⁾	20.3 c	20.7 d	21.5 b	20.0 c
Control	20.3 ab	27.7 b	24.7 c	23.0 b	24.0 b
SMFp-1	26.3 a	27.0 b	28.0 b	27.1 a	27.1 a
SMFp-2	25.7 a	25.3 b	32.7 a	28.7 a	28.1 a
SMFp-3	25.0 a	32.3 a	30.3 ab	26.9 a	28.6 a
Correlation ³⁾	NS	*	*	NS	**
T-test ⁴⁾	NS	NS	**	**	**

¹⁾Treatments were as follow. NF: non-fertilizer, control: compound fertilizer (N-P₂O₅-K₂O=21-17-17; 14.3 g/m²), SMFp-1 (Control+SMF 1 mL/m²), SMFp-2 (Control+SMF 2 mL/m²) and SMFp-3 (Control+SMF 4 mL/m²). Compound fertilizer was fertilized in the treatments on May 1, May 31, July 6, August 2, and September 5. Soil microbial fertilizer (SMF) containing *Sa. cerevisiae* HS-1 and *St. thermophiles* HS-2 was applied 10 times on May 1, May 15, May 31, June 14, July 6, July 24, August 2, August 18, September 5, and September 26, 2013.

²⁾Means with the same letters within column are not significantly different by Duncan's multiple range test at $p \leq 0.05$ level.

³⁾NS, * and ** were not significant and a significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 probability level by correlation coefficient between control and amount applying SMF (N=12).

⁴⁾NS and ** were not significant and a significant at the 0.01 probability level by t-test between control and SMFp-2 treatment.

, 7 24 , 8 18 9 26 가 ,
 SMF 가 가 . . SMF 가
 , SMFp-1, SMFp-2 ,
 SMFp-3 12.9%, 17.1%, 19.2% ,
 , SMFp-2 T- , 8 18 가 12.9-19.2%
 9 26 (Sa. cerevisiae HS-1) (St. thermophiles HS-2)
 SMF 가 가 . SMF
 7 24 8 18 가
 (R_{7/24}=0.579*, p=0.048; R_{8/18}=0.637*, p=0.026)

(R_{7/24}=0.729*, p=0.007)

SMF

Note

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

(Sa. cerevisiae HS-1) (St. thermophiles HS-2)
 (soil microbial fertilizer, SMF)
 (NF), (control, 3 N g/m²/month), SMF-1 (control + SMF 2 mL/m²/time) SMF-2 (control + SMF 4 mL/m²/time)
 (NF), (control, 3 N g/m²/month), SMFp-1 (control + SMF 1 mL/m²/time), SMFp-2 (control + SMF 2 mL/m²/time) SMFp-3 (control + SMF 4 mL/m²/time)
 , SMF 가

1. Jeong J (2006) Guideline for basic standard for organic rice cultivation. Korean Journal of Organic Agriculture, 10(4), 1-7.
2. Shin S, Yundendorj K, Lee SS, Lee DH, Kang KH, Kahng HY (2013) Characterization and organic hydrocarbons degradation potential of euryhaline marine microorganism, *Bacillus* sp. EBW 4 isolated from polychaete (*Perinereis aibuhitensis*). Korean Journal of Microbiology, 49(1), 38-45.
<https://doi.org/10.7845/kjm.2013.005>.
3. Park K, Park GT, Kim SM, Lee CY, Son HJ (2008) Conditions for soluble phosphate production by environ-

- ment-friendly biofertilizer resources, *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Journal of the Environmental Sciences, 17(9), 1033-1037.
<https://doi.org/10.5322/JES.2008.17.9.1033>.
4. Jung WC, Shin TS, Do KS, Kim WK, Lee JH, Choi KH (2006) Development of antagonistic microorganism for biological control of *Phytophthora blight* of turfgrass. Research in Plant Disease, 12(3), 260-266.
<https://doi.org/10.5423/RPD.2006.12.3.260>.
5. Seo S, Kim Y (2011) Development of "Bt-Plus" biopesticide using entomopathogenic bacterial (*Xenorhabdus nematophila*, *Photorhabdus temperate* spp. Temperate). Korean Journal of Applied Entomology, 50(3), 171-178.
<https://doi.org/10.5656/KSAE.2011.07.0.24>.
6. Huynh Le TT, Jun SE, Kim GT (2019) Current perspectives on the effects of plant growth-promotion rhizobacteria. Journal of Life Science, 29(11), 1281-1293. <https://doi.org/10.5352/JLS.2019.29.11.1281>.
7. Cho SR, Kim JH, Shim SR (2015) Practical use of several ground covers on a slope revegetation construction. Journal of the Korean Society of Environmental Restoration Technology, 18(3), 97-107.
<https://doi.org/10.13087/kosert.2015.18.3.97>.
8. Yoo MJ, Lee JP, Joo YK, Kim DH (2009) Analysis of maintenance expense in various golf course. Korean Journal of Turfgrass Science, 23(1), 61-76.
9. Kim SK (2003) A master plan for the 2002 world cup sports complex in the Suwon city, Korea. Journal of Korean Institute of Landscape Architecture, 30(6), 119-127.
10. Kato M (2005) Integral turf management for reducing pesticide usage in Japanese golf course. Korean Journal of Turfgrass Science, 19(2), 161-175.
11. Ham SK, Lim JY, Lee YM (2014) Livestock liquid fertilizer utilization study of zoysiagrass growing in the field. Journal of the Korea Organic Resource Recycling Association, 22(4), 11-20.
<https://doi.org/10.17137/Korae.2014.22.4.011>.
12. Ryu JH, Shim GY, Kim KS (2014) Inhibition of *in vitro* growth of tree soil-borne turfgrass diseases by antagonistic bacteria from composted liquid manure. Korean Journal of Horticultural Science and Technology, 32(6), 879-886.
<https://doi.org/10.7235/hort.2014.14085>.
13. Kim JG, Ahn JH (2011) Comparative study on ethanol production with pentose and/or hexose by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and/or *Pichia stipites*. Journal of Life Science, 21(3), 335-340.
14. Prusty R, Grisafi P, Fink GR (2004) The plant hormone indoleacetic acid induces invasive growth in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Biological Science, 101(12), 4153-4157. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0400659101>.
15. Kim DS, Shin HY, Han SI (2022) Isolation of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) producing *Arthrobacter* sp. and plant growth promotion effect. Journal of the Korean Applied Science and Technology, 39(6), 831-838.
<https://doi.org/10.12925/jkocs.2022.39.6.831>.
16. Kim DC, In MJ (2016) Preparation and characteristics of yogurt added with Korean rice wine lees powder. Journal of Applied Biological Chemistry, 59(4), 345-349. <https://doi.org/10.3839/jabc.2016.058>.
17. Kim BK, Hong KJ, Park JH, Kim HS, Kim YJ (2005) Effects of dietary microbes additive on growth performance and meat quality in pigs and broiler chick. Korean Journal for Food Science of Animal Resources, 25(2), 134-140.
18. Abdhul K, Ganesh M, Shanmughapriya S, Kanagavel M, Anbarasu K, Natarajaseenivasan K (2014) Antioxidant activity of exopolysaccharide form probiotic strain *Enterococcus faecium* (BDU7) form Ngari. International Journal of Biological Macromolecules, 70, 450-454.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2014.07.026>.
19. Bae EJ, Lee KS, Park NS, Huh MR (2012) Comparison of oxidative damage in zoysiagrass (*Zoysia* spp.) with environmental stress. Journal of Korean Society for People, Plant and Environment, 15(2), 107-113.
20. Kim YS, Ham SK, Lee SJ (2010) Effect of liquid fertilizer contained medium of *Lactobacillus* sp. and *Saccharomyces* sp. on growth of creeping bentgrass. Korean Journal of Turfgrass Science, 24(2), 138-144.
21. Miazek K, Ledakowicz S (2013) Chlorophyll extraction from leaves, needles and microalgae: A kinetic approach. International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, 6(2), 107-115.
<https://doi.org/10.3965/j.ijabe.20130602.0012>.
22. Cahyo AN, Sahuri S, Nugraha IS, Ardika R (2019) Cocopeat as soil substitute media for rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis* Müll. Arg.) planting material. Journal of Tropical Crop Science, 6(1), 24-29.
<https://doi.org/10.29244/jtcs.6.01.18-29>.
23. Hong S, Lee SM, Lee EY (2011) Bioremediation efficiency of oil-contaminated soil using microbial agents. Korean Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 39(3), 301-307.
24. Lee JJ, Kim YS, Ham SK, Lee CE, Lee GJ (2015) Growth

- and quality improvement of creeping bentgrass by two fertilizers containing *Trichoderma* species. *Weed and Turfgrass Science*, 4(3), 249-255.
<https://doi.org/10.5660/WTS.2015.4.3.249>.
25. Lastdrager J, Hanson J, Smeekens S (2014) Sugar signals and the control of plant growth and development. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 65(3), 799-807.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert474>.
26. Yao H, Bowman D, Shi W (2011) Seasonal variations of soil microbial biomass and activity in warm- and cool-season turfgrass system. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 43, 1536-1543.
27. Kussow WR, Soldat DJ, Kreuser WC, Houlihan SM (2012) Evidence, regulation, and on sequences of nitrogen-driven nutrient demand by turfgrass. *International Scholarly Research Network ISRN Agronomy*, 359284, 1-9.
<https://doi.org/10.5402/2012/359284>.
28. Kim YS, Lee CE, Ham SK, Lee GJ (2016) Growth of creeping bentgrass by application of compound fertilizer containing microbes. *Weed and Turfgrass Science*, 5(1), 42-50.
<https://doi.org/10.5660/WTS.2016.5.1.42>.