## Korean Journal of Environmental Agriculture

Korean J Environ Agric. 2018;37(2):97-103. Korean Published online 2018 June 29. https://doi.org/10.5338/KJEA.2018.37.2.17

1

Research Article



Online ISSN: 2233-4173



# 시설재배 근대 중 Neonicotinoid계 살충제 Acetamiprid 및 Thiamethoxam의 생산단계 잔류특성

장희라<sup>1\*</sup>, 유정선<sup>1</sup>, 도정아<sup>2</sup>

## Residue Dissipation Patterns of Neonicotinoid Acetamiprid and Thiamethoxam in Swiss Chard for the Harvest Periods under Greenhouse Conditions

Hee-Ra Chang<sup>1\*</sup>, Jung-Sun You<sup>1</sup> and Jung-Ah Do<sup>2</sup> (<sup>1</sup>Department of Food & Pharmaceutical Engineering, Graduate School of Hoseo University, Asan 31499, Korea, <sup>2</sup>Pesticide and Verterinary Drug Residues Division, Food Safety Evaluation Department, Ministry of Food and Drug Safety, Cheongju 28159, Korea)

Received: 15 June 2018/ Revised: 20 June 2018/ Accepted: 25 June 2018 Copyright © 2018 The Korean Society of Environmental Agriculture This is an Open-Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### Abstract

**BACKGROUND:** Dissipation of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam in greenhouse grown chard samples was evaluated at 5 intervals including the pre-harvest interval after application. This study was conducted to determine the residue levels, the biological half-lives and dissipation rate of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam in chard under controlled conditions.

**METHODS AND RESULTS:** Acetamiprid and thiamethoxam were applied in accordance with good agricultural practices for chard. Chard samples were collected at 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 14 days after application. Quantitaion was performed by HPLC-DAD system with C18 column. Limit of quantification (LOQ) of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam were both 0.02 mg/kg for chard. The recovery of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam were 77.8~107.5% and 94.3~108.6% at two concentration levels. The half-lives of pesticide dissipation in chard for two fields were 11.9 and 8.2 days for acetamiprid and 3.6 and 3.3 days for thiamethoxam respectively. The dissipation rate of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam were estimated according to

\*Corresponding author: Hee-Ra Chang Phone: +82-41-540-9696; Fax: +82-41-540-9696; E-mail: hrchang@hoseo.edu the statistics method with a 95% confidence.

ORCID

Hee-Ra Chang

http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0307-7703

가

**CONCLUSION:** Dissipation of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam in chard were determined under greenhouse. The concentration of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam in chards at 0 days after application were below specified by Korean MRL. Dissipation rate constant will be useful to set the pre-harvest residue limit for public health and consumer protection.

**Key words:** Acetamiprid, Dissipation, Residue, Swiss Chard, Thiamethoxam



가 가

<sup>2019</sup> (Positive list system, PLS)가

가

| Pesticide                            | Acetamiprid  |   | Thiamethoxam  |       |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|-------|
|                                      | CH <sub>3</sub>  |   | O <sub>≈N</sub> +O <sup>-</sup>   |       |
|                                      |  | CIEN  |   |       |
| Chemical structure                   |  |   |   |       |
|                                      | CI N CH3   |   | 0 N S   |       |
| Vapor pressure (mPa)                 | < 0.001 (25°C)   |   | 6.6×10 <sup>-6</sup> (25℃)  |       |
|                                      | (0.001 (25 C))   |   | 0.12  |       |
| IOg Kow                              | 0.8  |   | -0.13   |       |
| (mg/L, 20-25℃)                       | <4,250   |   | 4,100   |       |
| Organic solubility<br>(mg/L, 20-25℃) | Soluble in acetone, methanol, o<br>dichloromethane, chloroform, a<br>and tetrahydrofuran | ethanol, Soluble<br>acetonitrile dichlor<br>methar<br>(<0.001 | in acetone (48), ethyl acetate (7.0<br>omethane (110), toluene (0.68),<br>iol (13), n-octanol (0.62), hexane<br>) | J),   |
|                                      |  |   |   | -01   |
| 가                                    | ,  | (99.5%, Chem Serv   | rice, USA) Thiamethoxam (99.  | .7%,  |
|                                      |  | Sigma-Aldrich, US   | A) ,  | _     |
| (Kwon et al., 2009; J                | ang et al., 2011; Park et al.,   | Tab   | le 1 (Turner, 2015). HPL  | С     |
| 2015).                               | 1996 2007  | acetone, acetonitrile   | , dichloromethane, water n-hex  | ane   |
|                                      |  | Merck (Germany  | r), Sodium sulfate sodium chlor   | ride  |
| 25.7% , 2009                         |  | Junsei chem   | ical(guaranteed reagent grade, Jap  | van), |
| 13.3%, 2                             | 2013 1   | Solide phase extra  | ction cartridge(florisil, 5 g, 30 c   | c)    |
| (Seung et al., 2010; Kime            | t al., 2014). 2010 ~2012   | Agilent Technolog   | ies(USA) .  |       |
|                                      | 16   |   |   |       |
| /                                    |  | 포장시험  |   |       |
| 3 , 2016                             |  |   | 가   |       |
|                                      | 18 , 21017   | 가 20 km   |   | 2     |
| 18                                   | (Woo et al., 2013; NAPQMS,   | /   | ( 1, : )  |       |
| 2016 & 2017).                        |  | ( 2,  | : ) .   |       |
| Neoticotinoide                       | acetamiprid thiamethoxam   | $10 \text{ m}^2$  | , 3 1   | 1     |
|                                      | 2016   |   | (KC   | ΡA,   |
|                                      | 3.2% 2.0%,   | 2014)   | · ·   | ,     |
| 8 6                                  |  | ,   | (YAMATO, DY-435Y, Japan)  |       |
|                                      | 1.7%   |   | (Table 2).  |       |
| 가 5.6%                               |  |   | 0. 1. 2. 3. 5. 7. 10 14   |       |
| 7                                    | ィ (NAPOMS, 2016).  |   | 1 ko  |       |
|                                      | neonicotinoide   |   | 1 1.9   |       |
| acetaminrid thiame                   | thoyam   | nolvethvlene hag  | ice box 24  |       |
| accumption uname                     | utoxutt  | polyeutytene bag  |   |       |
| ,                                    |  |   |   |       |
|                                      |  | 시료 조제   |   |       |
| •                                    |  |   | deenfranzar(-5  | 70℃   |
| בעד                                  | 민 바버   | ) 18  | homogonizer   | 00    |
| 세뇨                                   |  | ) 40  | 71  |       |
| 시허얀제 및 시야                            |  |   | (15°C )   |       |
|                                      | Acetaminrid $5\%$ (  |   | (-13 ( ) .  |       |
| (丙) ) Thismethay                     | ram 10% (  |   |   |       |
| , T J, mainemox                      | am 1070 ( ,  | 눈식띱 왁딥  |   |       |

Acetamiprid

| Table 1. C | Chemical | structures | and | physico-chemical | properties | of | acetamiprid | and | thiamethoxam | (Turner | J. A., | 2015) |
|------------|----------|------------|-----|------------------|------------|----|-------------|-----|--------------|---------|--------|-------|

98

(주))

.

|              | Formulation      |                   | Appli                             | – DLII <sup>b)</sup> | MDI <sup>c)</sup>  |        |         |  |
|--------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------|---------|--|
| Pesticide    | Туре             | %AI <sup>a)</sup> | Spray concentration<br>(kg ai/hl) | Max.<br>No.          | Interval<br>(days) | (days) | (mg/kg) |  |
| Acetamiprid  | SL <sup>d)</sup> | 5                 | 0.005                             | 2                    | 7                  | 3      | 7.0     |  |
| Thiamethoxam | $WG^{e)}$        | 10                | 0.005                             | 2                    | 7                  | 7      | 10.0    |  |

Table 2. Good agricultural practice and maximum residue limit of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam on Swiss Chard in Korea

<sup>a)</sup> Active ingredient, <sup>b)</sup> Pre-harvest interval, <sup>c)</sup> Maximum residue limit, <sup>d)</sup> Soluble concentrate, <sup>e)</sup> Water dispersible granule

Table. 3. HPLC gradient conditions for the analysis of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam in Swiss Chard

| Pesticides       | Acetamiprid Thiamethoxam |  |              |               |                  |           |  |
|------------------|--------------------------|--|--------------|---------------|------------------|-----------|--|
| Instrument       |                          | Agilent 1260 Infinity Series HPLC                  |              |               |                  |           |  |
| Column           |                          | Phenomenex Luna 5 $\mu$ C18 (250 $\times$ 4.60 mm) |              |               |                  |           |  |
| Detector         |                          | D  | iode Array D | etector (DAD) |                  |           |  |
| Flow rate        |                          | 1.0 mL/min   |              |               |                  |           |  |
|                  | Time (min)               | Acetonitrile (%)                                   | Water (%)    | Time (min)    | Acetonitrile (%) | Water (%) |  |
|                  | 0                        | 5  | 95           | 0             | 5                | 95        |  |
| Mobile phase     | 15                       | 30   | 70           | 15            | 30               | 70        |  |
|                  | 20                       | 5  | 95           | 20            | 5                | 95        |  |
|                  |                          |  |              | 25            | 5                | 95        |  |
| Wavelength       |                          |  | 258          | nm            |                  |           |  |
| Injection volume | 40 µL                    |  |              |               |                  |           |  |

(Limit of Quantification, LOQ) Signal to noise ratio(S/N)7 10 (MFDS, 2016). Acetamiprid Thiamethoxam HPLC Table 3 (99.5%) 10.05 mg . Acetamiprid (99.7%) 10.03 mg Thiamethoxam acetonitrile /water(5/95, v/v) 10 mL 1,000 mg/L stock solution , acetonitrile/water(5/95, v/v)0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 7.0 10.0 mg/L chromatogram peak area  $(r^{2})$ 0.99

## .

#### 회수율시험

Acetamiprid thiamethoxam 10 (0.2 mg/kg) 50 (1.0 mg/kg) 2 3 25 g , acetonitrile 250 rpm /water (80/20, v/v) 100 mL 7 20 50 mL Volumetrick . flask , 20 mL n-Hexane 20 mL 2 , dichloromethane 20 mL 2

, 40℃ sodium sulfate rotary vacuum evaporator (V-700, BUCHI, Switzeland) dichloromethane 10 mL , dichloromethane 25 mL florisil SPE cartridge (5 g, 30 cc) . florisil SPE cartridge 10 mL acetone/ dichloromethane (4/96, v/v) 50 mL acetone/dichloromethane (45/55, v/v) 70 mL N<sub>2</sub> gas , acetonitrile/ water . (5/95, v/v) 4 mL HPLC-DAD (Agilnet 1200 Infinity Series, Agilent Technologies, USA) (Table 3).

#### 저장안정성 및 일자별 잔류량

| 3            |   | 25 g      |   | acetamiprid |
|--------------|---|-----------|---|-------------|
| thiamethoxam |   | 1.0 mg/kg |   |             |
|              | , | (-20℃     | ) | , 87        |

. acetamiprid Thiamethoxam

#### 생물학적 반감기 및 감소상수

acetamiprid thiamethoxam



Fig. 1. Temparture and humidity of residue field trials for Swiss Chard.



Fig. 2. Changes of Swiss Chard weight at sample harvest intervals.



#### 결과 및 고찰

| 포장/ | 시험       |    |            |      |      |            |
|-----|----------|----|------------|------|------|------------|
|     |          |    |            | 1    | 2    |            |
| 9   | 9.9±1.8℃ | 2  | 11.6±1.6℃  | ,    |      | 77.4±7.2%  |
| 81  | .2±5.7%  |    | .(Fig. 1). |      |      |            |
| ]   | I        | II |            |      |      | 24.2±0.7 g |
| 20  | .2±0.7 g |    |            | ,    |      | 0          |
|     | 14       |    |            | 2.9% | 3.7% | .(Fig. 2). |
|     |          |    |            |      |      |            |

#### 분석법 검증

Acetamiprid thiamethoxam 0.02 mg/kg 7 10.0 mg/L) (0.2, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 7.0  $(r^{2})$ , y=1.44338x-0.60804  $(r^2 = 0.999)$ y=1.64724x-1.00638 (r<sup>2</sup>=0.999) . Acetamiprid  $80.6 \pm 2.8\%$ 103.8± 5.6%, thiamethoxam 100.2±7.5% 98.9±4.9% (% coefficient 5.4% of variation) acetamiprid 3.4% thiamethoxam 7.5% 5.0%  $70 \sim$ 110% 20% (Table 4, MFDS, 2014). Acetamiprid thiamethoxam HPLC-DAD peak (Fig. 3, 4).

#### 일자별 잔류량 변화

acetamiprid thiamethoxam 0 1 acetamiprid 2 2.06 mg/kg, 2.91 mg/kg thiamethoxam 2.60 mg/kg 2.37 mg/kg acetamiprid thiamethoxam 7.0 mg/kg 10.0mg/kg (MFDS, 2018). acetamiprid 10%, thiamethoxam 5% 1,000 2,000 1 2 acetamiprid가 0.223g 0.207 g, thiamethoxam 0.243 g 0.233 g Acetamiprid 1 7.1 mg/kg

10 mg/kg , 3 1.63 mg/kg , 10 mg/kg , 0 ~3 (Park et al., 2010; Hur et al., 2012). thiamethoxam

#### Table 4. Limit of quantification and recoveries of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam in Swiss Chard

|              |                     |           | Re    | ecovery ( |                        |                  |             |  |
|--------------|---------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|------------------------|------------------|-------------|--|
| Pesticide    | Fortification level | Replicate |       |           | Mana (D <sup>a</sup> ) | CV <sup>b)</sup> | $LOQ^{e_j}$ |  |
|              | (1116/ Kg)          | 1         | 2     | 3         | Mean±SD                |                  | (ing/ kg)   |  |
| Acetamiprid  | 0.2                 | 80.8      | 77.8  | 83.3      | 80.6±2.8               | 3.4              | 0.02        |  |
|              | 1                   | 106.5     | 97.4  | 107.5     | 103.8±5.6              | 5.4              |             |  |
| Thiamethoxam | 0.2                 | 94.3      | 108.6 | 97.6      | 100.2±7.5              | 7.5              | 0.02        |  |
|              | 1                   | 94.9      | 97.4  | 104.4     | 98.9±4.9               | 5.0              | 0.02        |  |

<sup>a)</sup> Standard deviation, <sup>b)</sup> Coefficient of variation, <sup>c)</sup> Limit of quantification



Fig. 3. Repregentative chromatograms of acetamiprid by HPLC-DAD analysis(A; blank sample, B; standard 2.0 mg/kg, C; recovery 1.0 mg/kg, D; swiss chard sample at 10 days after application).



Fig. 4. Repregentative chromatograms of thiamethoxam by HPLC-DAD analysis (A; blank sample, B; standard 0.5 mg/kg, C; recovery 0.2 mg/kg, D; swiss chard sample at 14 days after application).

| 1           | 0   | 2.35 mg/kg            | 생물학적 반감기 및     | 감소상수   |       |            |      |
|-------------|-----|-----------------------|----------------|--------|-------|------------|------|
| ~3.16 mg/kg | (F  | Rahman et al., 2015). |                |        |       |            |      |
| tiametho    | xam | 7                     |                |        |       | acetamip   | orid |
| 0           | 80% | , 7                   |                | 2      | 2     | 12.0       | 8.2  |
| 2           | 0   | 가                     | , thiamethoxam | 3.6    | 3.3   | (Fig.      | 5).  |
|             |     |                       |                | acetam | iprid | thiamethox | am   |

,



Fig. 5. Dissipation curves and half-lives of acetamiprid(A) and thiamethoxam(B) in Swiss Chard.

| Table 5. Reg | ression analysis | s for the | dissipation | of | acetamiprid | and | thiamethoxam | on | Swiss | Chard |
|--------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|----|-------------|-----|--------------|----|-------|-------|
|--------------|------------------|-----------|-------------|----|-------------|-----|--------------|----|-------|-------|

| Pesticide  | Pesticide Acetamiprid                              |  |  | Thiamethoxam                                       |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Field No.  | Field I  | Field II                                   | Field I  | Field II   |  |  |
| Dissipation regression<br>equation <sup>a)</sup> | $y=2.8361e^{-0.0578x}$<br>(r <sup>2</sup> =0.9391) | $y=1.8980e^{-0.0848x}$<br>( $r^2=0.9385$ ) | $y=2.6131e^{-0.1935x}$<br>(r <sup>2</sup> =0.9870) | $y=2.5236e^{-0.2077x}$<br>(r <sup>2</sup> =0.9747) |  |  |
| Dissipation rate constant <sup>b)</sup>          | $0.0409\!\sim\!0.0747$                             | $0.0598 \sim 0.1098$                       | $0.1713{\sim}0.2157$                               | $0.1744\!\sim\!0.2410$                             |  |  |
| Lower limit of dissipation rate constant         | 0.0409   | 0.0599                                     | 0.1714   | 0.1744   |  |  |

<sup>a)</sup> Significant at p < 0.05 by the *F*-test, <sup>b)</sup> Significant at p < 0.05 by the t-test

(0 **=**0)

|          |                      |       | (95          | 5% confidence |  |  |
|----------|----------------------|-------|--------------|---------------|--|--|
| level)   | acetamiprid가         | 1     | 2            | $0.0409 \sim$ |  |  |
| 0.0747   | 0.0598~0.1098,       | thiar | nethoxam     | 0.1713~       |  |  |
| 0.2157   | 0.1744~0.2410        | )     | (Table 5).   | Acetamiprid   |  |  |
|          |                      |       | 7            | 가 1.94        |  |  |
| 2.54     | ,                    |       |              |               |  |  |
| ,        |                      |       |              |               |  |  |
|          | ,                    |       |              |               |  |  |
|          | , acetami            | iprid | sunlight     | 가             |  |  |
| 4.9      |                      |       |              | (Gupta et     |  |  |
| al., 200 | 8; Park et al., 2010 | ).    |              | 1             |  |  |
| cloth    | nianidin             |       | thiamethoxam |               |  |  |
| 2        | 6.3                  | 4.2   | ,            | codex         |  |  |
|          |                      |       | tł           | niamethoxam   |  |  |
| , Rał    | nman et al.          |       | thiameth     | oxam          |  |  |
|          |                      |       | 2            | 3.6           |  |  |
| 2.4      |                      | (R    | ahman et a   | al., 2015).   |  |  |
|          |                      |       | â            | acetamiprid   |  |  |
| thiame   | thoxam               |       |              |               |  |  |

#### Note

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Ministry of Food and Drug Safety, Republic of Korea (grant number : 17162MFDS010).

#### References

- Gupta, S., Gajbhiye, V. T., & Gupta, R. K. (2008). Effect of light on the degradation of two neonicotinoids viz acetamiprid and thiacloprid in soil. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 81(2), 185-189.
- Jang, M. R., Moon, H. K., Kim, T. R., Yuk, D. H., Hwang, I. S., Kim, M. S., Kim, J. H., & Chae, Y. Z. (2011). Exposure assessment for pesticide residues in vegetables using korea national health and nutrition examination survey data for seoulites. Korean Journal of Nutrition, 44(5),443-452.
- Jang, M. R., Moon, H. K., Kim, T. R., Yuk, D. H., Hwang, I. S., Kim, M. S., Kim, J. H., & Chae, Y. Z. (2011). Exposure assessment for pesticide residues in vegetables

using korea national health and nutrition examination survey data for seoulites. Korean Journal of Nutrition, 44(5), 443-452.

- Kim, N. H., Lee, J. S., Kim, W. H., Choi, Y. H., Han, S. H., Kim, Y. H., Kim, H. S., Lee, S. R., Lee, J. M., Yu, I. S., & Jung, K. (2014). Monitoring of pesticide residues and risk assessment on agricultural products in the northern area of seoul. Journal of Food Hygiene and Safety, 29(3), 170-180.
- Kwon, H. Y., Lee, H. D., Kim, J. B., Jin, Y. D., Moon, B. C., Park, B. J., Son, K. A., Kwon, O. K., & Hong, M. K. (2009). Reduction of Pesticide residues in field-sparyed leafy vegetables by washing and boiling. Journal of Food Hygiene and Safety, 24(2), 182-187.
- Park, D. W., Kim, A. G., Kim, T. S., Yang, Y. S., Kim, G. G., Chang, G. S., Ha, D. R., Kim, E. S., & Cho, B. S. (2015). Monitoring and safety assessment of pesticide residues on agricultural products sold via online websites. The Korean Journal of Pesticide Science, 19(1), 22-31.
- Park, H. R., Heo, S. P., Thapa, I. H., Yu, J. M., Cho, J. M., & Hur, J. H. (2012). Residual analysis and risk assessment of acetamiprid 5% sl in the amaranthus (Amaranthus mangostanus L). Journal of Agricultural, Life and Environmental Sciences, 24(2), 55-61.

- Park, J. Y., Choi, J. H., Kim, B. M., Park, J. H., Cho, S. K., Ghafar, M. W., Abd El-Aty, A. M., & Shim, J. H. (2011). Determination of acetamiprid residues in zucchini grown under greenhouse conditions:application to behavioral dynamics. Biomedical Chromatography, 25, 136-146.
- Rahman, M. M., Farha, W., Abd El-Aty, A. M., Kabir, M. H., Im, S. J., Jung, D. I., Choi, J. H., Kim, S. W., Son, Y. W., Kwon, C. H., Shin, H. C., & Shim, J. H. (2015). Dynamic behaviour and residual pattern of thiamethoxa and its metabolite clothianidin in Swiss chard using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Food Chemistry 174, 248-255.
- Seung, H. J., Park, S. K., Ha, K. W., Kim, O. H., Choi, Y. H., Kim, S. J., Lee, K. A., Jang, J. I., Jo, H. B., & Choi, B. H. (2010). Survey on pesticide residues in commercial agricultural products in the northern area of seoul. Journal of Food Hygiene and Safety, 25(2), 106-117.
- Turner, J. A (2015). The pesticide manual. pp.9 & 1092. 17th edition, British Crop Production Council, UK.
- Woo, N., Ko, S. H., & Park, Y. J. (2013). Monitoring of pesticide residues in vegetables collected in chungbuk, korea. The Korean Journal of Food and Nutrition, 26(4), 865-878.